

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO. 5790 OF 2005

Mr. Pankaj Prabhakar Sapkal .. Petitioner.

VS.

Mrs. Radhika Pankaj Sapkal .. Respondent.

Mr. G.B. Badigar & Abhijit Sarwate
for petitioner.

Mr. R.S. Apte with M.S. Lagu for respondent.

CORAM : S.U. KAMDAR, J.

DATE : 28th November, 2005.

P.C.:

. By the impugned order dated 23.3.2005 the Family Court has fixed the maintenance of the respondent at Rs. 15,000/- and for the child a sum of Rs. 5,000/- per month as interim maintenance. The learned Judge while fixing the said maintenance has considered the overall aspects of the matter. In para 6 of the order he has considered that the husband is a IIT graduate and he is an Industrial Designer. It has been pointed out that he is having a large amount of property including agricultural land of more than 100 acres, a computer and various

other items. He has also considered that the apart from the aforesaid, the petitioner has also other assets which are set out in para 7 including various bank accounts and foreign exchange accounts. The learned counsel for the petitioner says that the income of the petitioner is only Rs. 13000/- to Rs. 18000/-. He insists to rely upon his income tax returns for the said purpose. However, he admits that the petitioner is a director of the company and having large amount of assets. The learned Judge after considering the overall picture in the matter has fixed the maintenance at Rs. 15,000/- for the wife and Rs. 5,000/- for the child. I do not find any infirmity in the order passed by the learned trial Court. It requires no interference in writ jurisdiction. It is now well settled that the writ jurisdiction is not meant for computing the figures of maintenance. In that view of the matter, the petition is dismissed. No order as to costs.